IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE EIGHTH DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE GODA RAGHURAM

WRIT PETITION NO: 7332 of 2000

Between:

M. ANANTHAMMA W/O. LATE. M. HARIBABU
R/O. DOOR NO. 1-139, CHEMMUNIAPET
CUDDAPAH DIST.

... PETITIONER

AND

1 THE A.P.S.R.T.C. Reptd. By its Chairman & Managing Director, Musheerabad,

Hyderabad.
2 THE A.P.S.R.T.C. Reptd. By its Regional Manager, Cuddapah Region,

Cuddapah Dist.
3 THE A.P.S.R.T.C. Reptd. By its Works Manager, Zonal Workshop, Cuddapah,

Cuddapah Dist.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an order or direction or Writ Particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 3rd Respondent instead of considering the petitioner's case for the post of Attender, the 3rd Respondent has Simply rejected her application by its proceedings No. 02/684(1)/2000-ZWS! CDP, dt. 8/4/2000 on the ground that there is no provision to provide attender post to you, as there is a ban on the Recruitment of attender post in APSRTC, is wholly illegal, arbitrary and unjust and contrary to Law and also contrary to their own circulars No. PD-72/1999, dt. 2/8/1999. and Circular No. P D100/1999, dt. 10/11/1999. and violation of Art. 14, 16 and 21 of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the Respondents to consider the case of the petitioner for the post of attender or shramik or any other suitable post and pass such other order or orders as the Hon’ble Court may deem fit

and proper under the circumstances of the case.

For the Petitioner: MS.B.MALLESWARI, Advocate.

For the Respondents.: MR.P.VINAYAKA SWAMY, Advocate.

The Court Made the Following Order:

W.P.NO.7332 OF 2000

-

ORDER:

This Writ Petition is filed by the widow of the deceased employee for compassionate appointment in the Andhra Pradesh State Road Transport Corporation. On the ground that there is a ban of recruitment of attenders in the respondent-Corporation, the petitioner's request for compassionate appointment has been rejected by 3rd respondent's letter dated 08-04-2000 while recording that the petitioner may claim Additional Monetary Benefit of Rs.1,00,000/- as envisaged in the Circular dated 11-02-2000. Such Monetary Benefit is granted in lieu of employment benefits to the dependents of the deceased employees.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner, at present, is satisfied with the Additional Monetary Benefit only and would like to receive the same. The Writ Petition is accordingly dismissed as withdrawn. The petitioner is at liberty to claim Additional Monetary Benefit in view of the letter of the 3rd respondent bearing Ref.No.P2/684(1)/2000-ZWS-CDP dated 08-04-2000.

_____

GODA RAGHURAM, J

July 8, 2004

MRR

Copy to:

1 Chairman & Managing Director, Musheerabad THE A.P.S.R.T.C.,

Hyderabad.
2 Regional Manager, THE A.P.S.R.T.C., Cuddapah Region,

Cuddapah Dist.
3 Works Manager, Zonal Workshop, THE A.P.S.R.T.C., Cuddapah,

Cuddapah Dist.

4 Two C.D. Copies.